CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER MEMORANDUM	DATE: 6/26/2014	Page 1 of 2

TO: Tony Anziano, Program Manager /			FILE:	E.A.	04 - 0120F4				
			CO-R1	CO-RTE-PM SF-80-13.2/13.9					
FROM: Darryl Schram, Senior TE				FEI	D. NO.	No			
CCO#: 314	SUPPL	EMENT#: 5	Categor	y Code: CHXX	CONTIN	CONTINGENCY BALANCE (incl. this change)		nge) \$17,784,960.86	
COST: \$0.00 INCREASE DECREASE				HEADQU	HEADQUARTERS APPROVAL REQUIRED? ✓ YES ☐ NO				
SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS PROVIDED: \$0.00					IS THIS REQUEST IN ACCORDANCE WITH ✓ YES NO ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS?				
CCO DESCRIPTION:					PROJEC	PROJECT DESCRIPTION:			
Pier E2 Rod Testing					CONSTR	CONSTRUCT SELF-ANCHORED SUSPENSION BRIDGE			
Condinal Contract Time: Time Add This Change: T		Previously Approve Time Adjustments:			ntage Time Adjusted: ing this change)	Total # of Unreconciled Deferred Time CCO(s): (including this change)			
2490	Day(s)	0	Day(s)	501	Day(s)		20 %	42	

THIS CHANGE ORDER PROVIDES FOR:

Providing plan sheets detailing Test Rigs 18 and 19 for testing of rods.

Contract Plan Sheets 884R1 "Pier E2 Bearing Details No. 2" and 887R2 "Pier E2 Shear Key Details No. 1" identify the anchor bolts for the Pier E2 Shear Keys and Bearings as 76 mm A354 Grade BD Bolts. These plan sheets require the bolts to be tensioned to 0.70 Fu (70% of their ultimate strength). Special Provisions Sections 10-1.47 "Spherical Bushing Bearing (Pier E2)" and 10-1.50 "Shear Key (Pier E2)" provide the specification requirements for the anchor bolts. Both of these specifications refer you to Special Provisions Section 10-1.59 "Steel Structures" which in turn refers you to various ASTM specifications including ASTMs A123, A153, A143, A354, etc. which provide further specifications for the manufacture and testing of the anchor bolts and hardware. While these references provide for the final mechanical properties and processes for the manufacture of the bolts, they do not specifically require hydrogen embrittlement testing for the anchor bolts.

Within days after tensioning was performed, the anchor bolts in the shear keys directly below the Eastbound and Westbound Orthotropic Box Girder (OBG) structures (known as Shear Keys S1 and S2) began to fail. A total of 32 out of the 96 anchor bolts broke before the Department directed the Contractor to reduce the anchor bolt tension to prevent further failures. A forensic metallurgic examination was jointly performed with both the Contractor's and Materials Engineering and Testing Services' (METS) metallurgical experts. It was determined that while the failed bolts' material properties did meet the contract specifications, the hardness properties were at the upper limit and the ductility and toughness properties were at the lower limit. Taking this high end hardness and low end ductility into account and combining it with a high tensile stress (0.70 Fu) makes this material more susceptible to the effects of hydrogen cracking (also known as hydrogen embrittlement). The metallurgical examination indicated that the bolts were susceptible to hydrogen embrittlement due to a lack of uniformity in the microstructure of the rods.

The anchor bolts at Shear Keys S1 and S2 are uniquely different from the anchor bolts at the remaining shear keys and bearings (known as Shear Keys S3 and S4 and Bearings B1, B2, B3, and B4) in that they were manufactured in 2008 as opposed to the remaining ones in 2010. In addition, due to physical limitations the anchor bolts at Shear Keys S1 and S2 have their anchors fully cast into the Pier E2 cap and are not replaceable, as opposed to the remaining shear keys and bearings which are thru bolted and thus replaceable. As such, Shear Keys S1 and S2 will require an alternate anchorage solution.

Multiple change orders will be issued for the alternate shear key solution including:

- •CCO 312 furnish rods for testing and to replace removed rods
- •CCO 313 procure long lead time materials
- •CCO 314 S0, S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 perform work to remove and test rods
- CCO 319 fabricate saddles
- CCO 320 shim temporary bearings
- •CCO 325 perform concrete and rebar demolition
- •CCO 326 core drill through pier cap
- •CCO 327 S0, S1, S2, and S3 install temporary work platforms, falsework, saddles, CCO 312 permanent rods, CCO 363 caps, and dust covers. Remove CCO 338 shims and CCO 337 temporary anchor rods.
- CCO 328 furnish and install bar reinforcement
- •CCO 329 S0 and S1 place shear key concrete
- •CCO 330 furnish and install post tensioning

CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER MEMORANDUM

EA: 0120F4 CCO: 314 - 5

DATE: 6/26/2014 Page 2 of 2

- •CCO 331 plan sheets
- •CCO 337 furnish and install temporary rods
- CCO 338 shim permanent bearings
- •CCO 363 furnish corrosion protection caps

This change order (CCO 314 S5) will provide plan sheets for additional test rigs to perform tests on rods to verify their material properties and suitability for the project.

The total cost of this change order is \$0.00 force account, sufficient funds remain in CCO 314 S0, S1, S2, S3, and S4 force account funds to cover the cost of this work. A detailed cost analysis is on file. The cumulative total of CCO 314 S0, S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 is \$5,700,000.00.

No time adjustment is warranted as this change order does not affect the controlling operation.

The Toll Bridge Project Oversight Committee (TBPOC) initially (April 11, 2013) approved \$4.3 million to continue work on the Shear Key S1 & S2 anchor rod replacement strategy solutions and to procure long lead time materials. The TBPOC revised this approval (May 9, 2013) to include all E2 shear key anchorage work within the approved \$4.3 million funding. At the June 6, 2013 TBPOC meeting the TBPOC revised this approval to \$7.5 million. At the July 10, 2013 TBPOC meeting the TBPOC revised this approval to \$18 million. At the January 27, 2014 TBPOC meeting the TBPOC revised this approval to \$25 million. CCO's 313, 319, 320, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 337, 338, and 363 are specific to this approval.

In addition, for the anchor rods test program TBPOC also approved \$1 million on May 9, 2013, an additional \$2.7 million on October 3, 2013, an additional \$1.4 million on November 5, 2013, and an additional \$800,000 on April 11, 2014 for a total approval of \$5.9 million. CCO's 312 and 314 are specific to this approval.

The SAS Third Quarter 2013 risk register is carrying the risk "Fabricate and Install a Retrofit for Shear Key bolts at Pier E2" in the range of \$2.5M to \$6.4M and was created to address the fabrication and installation of the new saddle. The SAS risk register is also carrying the risk for the testing of ASTM A354 Grade BD Rods in the range of \$3.6M to \$16.25M to address testing and remedial actions as necessary.

This change order has concurrence from William Casey (Supervising TE), Tony Anziano (Program Manager), and Rich Foley (HQ Oversight).

CONCURRED BY:					ESTIMATE OF COST	Γ
Construction Engineer:	William Casey, Sup TE	Date	4/28/14		THIS REQUEST	TOTAL TO DATE
Bridge Engineer:		Date		ITEMS	\$0.00	\$0.00
este con unate		1 000		FORCE ACCOUNT	\$0.00	\$5,700,000.00
Project Engineer:		Date		AGREED PRICE	\$0.00	\$0.00
Project Manager:	TB Program Manager, Tony Anzian D	Date :	5/12/14	ADJUSTMENT	\$0.00	\$0.00
FHWA Rep.:	D	Date		TOTAL	\$0.00	\$5,700,000.00
Environmental:	П	Date			FEDERAL PARTICIPATION	ON
Other (specify):	91/2007/20 April 20 A		4/29/14	☐ PARTICIPATING ☐ NON-PARTICIPATING	PARTICIPATING IS	N PART ✓ NONE NON-PARTICIPATING
Other (specify):	D	Date				
District Prior Approval By	:	Date	i	CCO FUNDED PER CO		nding Source or P.I.P. type) CCO FUNDED AS FOLLOWS
HQ (Issue Approve) By:	D	Date		FEDERAL FUNDING SO	OURCE	PERCENT
Resident Engineer's Signature: Date				to control and the control and		
1	0/16-6-	30-	14			